

## First Draft Record of WSC 2004 Decisions

### MONDAY 26 APRIL 2004 OLD BUSINESS

Following a lengthy pre-business discussion session, Mark H (CF) reconvened the conference at 11:00 pm with a moment of silence followed by the Serenity Prayer. Mark reminded participants that the rationale for having the pre-business discussion session was to have a more manageable business session.

Roll call #2 of voting participants was conducted by Mark H (CF), showing a total of 103 participants present. For Old Business, 62 represents a 2/3 majority; 47 represents a simple majority; and 93 regions are present.

Tim S (CF) began facilitating

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #1*

**“To approve the book, Sponsorship, contained in Addendum A.”**

*Amendment: It was M/S/F Eileen G (RD, San Diego/Imperial) / Seth S (RD, Rio Grande), Motion #23*

**“To direct the World Board to add an index to the Sponsorship Book prior to publication.”**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #2*

**“To replace the existing IP #11, Sponsorship, with the revised draft contained in Addendum B. This motion would also approve the replacement of the text from the entire Sponsorship IP that currently appears in An Introductory Guide to Narcotics Anonymous.”**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #3*

**“To replace the three quotes in *Just for Today* from the existing IP #11, *Sponsorship*, with material from the proposed IP as follows:**

- February 8 would now read, ‘... an NA sponsor is a member of Narcotics Anonymous, living our program of recovery, who is willing to build a special, supportive, one-on-one relationship with us.’
- March 13 would now read, ‘A sponsor is not necessarily a friend, but may be someone in whom we confide. We can share things with our sponsor that we may not be comfortable sharing in a meeting.’
- March 26 would now read, ‘In seeking a sponsor, most members look for someone they feel they can learn to trust, someone who seems compassionate...’”

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #4*

**“To approve work on revisions to the Basic Text, *Narcotics Anonymous*, that includes:**

- no changes made to Chapters One through Ten,
- the addition of a new preface to the Sixth Edition preceding the current preface (the current preface will remain the same and be titled ‘Preface to the First Edition’),
- the replacement of some or all of the current personal stories, in order to better reflect the broad diversity of our fellowship, and
- a brief introduction to the revised personal stories section.

**The timeframe for this work will be two conference cycles, from 2004 to 2008, including a six-month review and input period. The approval form of the Sixth Edition Basic Text will be distributed as an appendix to the 2008 Conference Agenda Report for a minimum of 150 days.”**

*Amendment: It was M/S/F Bobby S (RD, South Florida) / Donna C (RD, Georgia), Motion #22:*

**“To amend Motion #4 by deleting the words ‘or all’ from bullet #3.”**

*Amendment: It was M/S/F Bryan W (RD, California Mid-State)/ Adam H (AD, Connecticut) Motion #21:*

**“To amend motion 4 by replacing the language in the second to the last sentence to read *The timeframe for this work will be three conference cycles, from 2004 to 2010, including a eighteen-month review and input period.*”**

*Amendment: It was M/S/F Walter B (RD, Free State) / Richie S (RD, Eastern New York), Motion #30:*

**To amend motion 4 by adding language to paragraph 2, bullet 4 ‘To allow an extension for motion 4, to increase by up to 90 days a input and review process timeframe. This motion will allow up to 9 months for fellowship input and review instead of 6 months for Basic Text amendments.’**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #5:*

**“To change the maximum number of members for the World Board from ‘up to twenty-four’ to ‘up to eighteen’ and to reflect that change in the *World Board External Guidelines in A Guide to World Services in NA.*”**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #6:*

**“To eliminate specific language about standing committees, except the Executive Committee, from the section Committees of the Board in the *World Board External Guidelines in A Guide to World Services in NA.* These changes would also be reflected in the section on General Duties and in the chart representing the world service structure.”**

Mark H (CF) began facilitating the session.

*It was M/S/F (by roll call vote: 13/81/0) John S (RD, Minnesota)/Rosie (RD, Australia) (maker requested a roll call vote), Motion #17:*

**“This proposal seeks to change the trustor of the Fellowship Intellectual Property Trust, and if adopted, would require that this proposal be sent out for a six-month review and input period which ends before June 2005 and then be presented to the fellowship in the *2006 Conference Agenda Report.*”**

**To reduce the total number of representatives and to provide for equal geographic representation at WSC 2008, the current regional delegate representation will be replaced by fellowship representatives. The World Service Conference shall be comprised of a maximum of 72 fellowship representatives:**

- **Up to 18 from North America**
- **Up to 18 from Europe**
- **Up to 18 from Asia/Pacific Rim**
- **Up to 18 from South/Central America”**

Bryan W (RD, California Mid-State) asked the facilitator if regional CAR motions required a second before being considered by the body. Mark H (CF) responded that CAR motions do not need a second. Bryan challenged the rule of the chair. After a brief discussion, Don C (Parliamentarian) clarified that according to the *WSC Rules of Order*, all regional motions require a second to be considered.

*It was M/S/F Tim R (RD, Lone Star)/ Doug F (RD, Louisiana), Motion #10:*

**“To set aside WSC Policy, and a workgroup be created to review, edit, and submit for approval at WSC 2006 the Tradition Working Guide developed by the Lone Star Regional Literature Committee.”**

*Amendment: It was M/S/F Wes R (RD, Mountaineer)/ Jim B (RD, Greater Illinois):*

“To commit Motion #10 to the WB”

Ron H (WB) speaks con to the motion by stating that when you commit something it requires the WB to spend energy and resources on this. If you want to send this out to pasture, simply defeat the motion.

Rex S (RD, Washington/N. Idaho) asked for clarification regarding what the body is considering committing to the WB.

Mark H (CF) responded that the body was considering committing Motion #10 to the WB.

*It was M/S/F Tim R (RD, Lone Star)/Doug F (RD, Louisiana), Motion #14*

**“To change the percentage required for election to the World Board from 60% to 51%.”**

*It was M/F Tim R (RD, Lone Star), Motion #15: Motion fails for lack of second.*

**“To direct the World Board to develop a project plan, timeline, and budget, for WSC 2006 about lowering the cost to all participating regions by 25% for all World Service events. These events shall include: the World Service Conference, World Service Meetings, and the Worldwide Workshops. This plan will not include any World Convention.”**

*It was M/S/F Allan J (RD, Carolina)/Willie B (RD, Alabama/NW Florida), Motion #12:*

**“To adopt the following as fellowship approved:**

- **All keytags, chips, and medallions in the colors and corresponding time frames currently available from NAWS. Presently available from NAWS are keytags and chips as follows; welcome white, 30 days orange, 60 days green, 90 days red, 6 months blue, 9 months yellow, 1 year moonglow (Luminance white), 18 months gray, multiple years black, and medallions in bronze, bi-plate, gold plate, silver and 14 K gold for 18 months, 1-45 years, and eternity in English and bronze 1-20 years in Spanish, French, Brazilian/Portuguese**
- **Furthermore, to delegate to NAWS the authority to produce non-English keytags, chips, and medallions corresponding to their English counterparts with the text appropriately translated as deemed practical by NAWS as conference-approved items**
- **As fellowship approved items, keytags, chips, and medallions would require that NAWS present proposals for any changes to these items in the Conference Agenda Report. Minor design and material changes would not require fellowship approval.”**

*It was M/S/F Walter B (RD, Free State)/Mindy A (RD, Show Me), Motion #8:*

**“That no changes be considered or made to Book 1, Chapters 1 thru 10 of the Basic Text from WSC 2004 until the start of WSC 2014.”**

*It was M/S/F Manny T (RD, Buckeye)/ Amanda K (RD, Ontario), Motion #9:*

**“To direct Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. to create a Book One version of the 5th Edition Basic Text (approved April 25, 1991) and make it available for sale at the same price as other language versions available in Book One only.”**

*Amendment: It was M/S/F Manny T (RD, Buckeye)/ Amanda K (RD, Ontario):*

“To commit Motion #9 to the WB”

*It was M/S/F Tim R (RD, Lone Star)/Mukam H-D (RD, New Jersey), Motion #19:*

**“To direct the World Board to develop a project plan, timeline, and budget, for WSC 2006 for the implementation of the following sections from Resolution A:**

- **To reduce the total number of representatives**

- To provide for equal representation from all geographic entities; and,
- To encourage a consensus-based decision-making process.”

*It was M/S/F Ruben A (RD, Southern California)/William H (RD, Northern NY), Motion #11:*  
**“To allow the limited (fair use) reprinting and quoting of the NA Fellowship approved copyrighted literature by registered NA Service Boards and Committees that have a presence on the Internet.”**

*It was M/C Bob J (WB)*  
**“To approve the WSC 2002 minutes”**

*It was M/ Ruled Out of Order Walter B (RD, Free State):*  
**“To amend the minutes to show a minimum of 12 WB members”**

Mark H (CF) closed the Old Business session at 12:04 am. Applause.



## Old Business Summary

### Motions carried:

- Motion 1: “To approve the book, *Sponsorship*, contained in Addendum A.”
- Motion 2: “To replace the existing IP #11, *Sponsorship*, with the revised draft contained in Addendum B. This motion would also approve the replacement of the text from the entire *Sponsorship* IP that currently appears in *An Introductory Guide to Narcotics Anonymous*.”
- Motion 3: “To replace the three quotes in *Just for Today* from the existing IP #11, *Sponsorship*, with material from the proposed IP as follows:
- February 8 would now read, ‘... an NA sponsor is a member of Narcotics Anonymous, living our program of recovery, who is willing to build a special, supportive, one-on-one relationship with us.’
  - March 13 would now read, ‘A sponsor is not necessarily a friend, but may be someone in whom we confide. We can share things with our sponsor that we may not be comfortable sharing in a meeting.’
  - March 26 would now read, ‘In seeking a sponsor, most members look for someone they feel they can learn to trust, someone who seems compassionate...’”
- Motion 4: “To approve work on revisions to the Basic Text, *Narcotics Anonymous*, that includes:
- no changes made to Chapters One through Ten,
  - the addition of a new preface to the Sixth Edition preceding the current preface (the current preface will remain the same and be titled “Preface to the First Edition”),
  - the replacement of some or all of the current personal stories, in order to better reflect the broad diversity of our fellowship, and
  - a brief introduction to the revised personal stories section.
- The timeframe for this work will be two conference cycles, from 2004 to 2008, including a six-month review and input period. The approval form of the Sixth Edition Basic Text will be distributed as an appendix to the 2008 Conference Agenda Report for a minimum of 150 days.”
- Motion 5: “To change the maximum number of members for the World Board from ‘up to twenty-four’ to ‘up to eighteen’ and to reflect that change in the *World Board External Guidelines* in *A Guide to World Services in NA*.”
- Motion 6: “To eliminate specific language about standing committees, except the Executive Committee, from the section *Committees of the Board* in the *World Board External Guidelines* in *A Guide to World Services in NA*. These changes would also be reflected in the section on *General Duties* and in the chart representing the world service structure.”
- Admin motion: “To approve the WSC 2002 minutes”

## FRIDAY 30 APRIL 2004 NEW BUSINESS

*Session led by Tim S and Mark H, Cofacilitators*

Tim, (WSC CF), called WSC 2004 to order at 3:04 p.m. at the Warner Center Marriott in Woodland Hills, California, USA. He opened the meeting with a moment of silence to remember the still-suffering addict, followed by the Serenity Prayer.

Tim introduced Garth P (HRP) so that he could have an opportunity to say goodbye to the conference. Garth received a standing ovation from the conference. Garth showed a slide show to illustrate his gratitude. He left the conference with these few words: "Try not to take things so seriously here."

Roll call #7 of voting participants was conducted by Tim, showing a total of 107 participants present; 72 represents a 2/3 majority; and 54 represents a simple majority.

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #35*

**"To approve the Business Plan Workgroup project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget."**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #36*

**"To approve the Basic Text project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget."**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #37*

**"To approve the Leadership Identification & Development project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget."**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #38*

**"To approve the NAWS Communications & Publications project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget."**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #39*

**"To approve the Public Relations Strategy project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget."**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #40*

**"To approve the Service Handbooks project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget."**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #41*

**"To approve the Service Material project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget."**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #42*

**"To approve the Leadership Qualities in NA project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget."**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #43*

**"To approve the Self Support IP project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget."**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #44*

**"To approve the Service Structure Relationship & Definition project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget."**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #45*

**"To approve the Targeted Literature project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget."**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #46*

**"To approve the Capturing Long Time Members Experience project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget."**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #47*

**“To approve the Consensus Based Decision Making at the WSC project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #48*

**“To approve the Fellowship Issue Discussions project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #49*

**“To approve the Literature Distribution & Convention Workshop project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #50*

**“To approve the Worldwide Workshops project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”**

*Ammendment: It was M/S/F Bryan W (RD, California Mid-State)/ Peter H (RD, Greater New York), Motion #60:*

**“Reprioritize the worldwide workshop project plan to ‘initial priority’.”**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #51*

**“To approve the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #52*

**“To recognize Venezuela as a seated World Service Conference participant beginning at the close of WSC 2004.”**

*It was M/C World Board, Motion #53*

**“To recognize Chile as a seated World Service Conference participant beginning at the close of WSC 2004.”**

*It was M/S/F Bobby S (RD, South Florida)/ Donna C. (RD, Georgia), Motion #27*

**“To amend A Guide to World Services, page 22 by adding the following language: To add a bullet #3 in Nominations that “all regional nominations for WB, HRP and Cofacilitators be submitted up to 60 days prior to the opening of WSC, and names of nominees to be included in the March Conference Report.”**

*Conference participants accepted this friendly amendment offered by Bobby S (RD, South Florida) :*

**“To change the words ‘up to’ to ‘at least’”**

*It was M/S/Committed to the World Board Walter B (RD, Free State) / Peter H (RD, Greater New York), Motion #33*

**“To include regional conscience and endorsement in the HRP Guidelines for the selection process for World Board, HRP and Cofacilitator positions.”**

*Amendment: It was M/S/C Walter B (RD, Free State) / Peter H (RD, Greater New York)*

**“To include the word ‘optional’ between the words ‘include’ and ‘regional’ in Motion #33”**

*It was M/S/C Rex S (RD, Washington/N Idaho) / Seth S (RD, Rio Grande)*

**”To commit Motion #33 to the World Board”**

*It was M/S/C Arne H (RD, British Columbia)/ Roseann B-A (RD, Northern California), Motion #58 (Standing count, of 112 participants, 76 voted “Yes”)*

**“That the system for identifying candidates for World Service positions include the opportunity for RSCs and/or the World Board to forward potential candidates to the HRP separately from and after the HRP’s initial blind screening process.”**

*Amendment: It was M/S/C Luc C (RD, Quebec)/ Paul O (RD, Japan)*

**“To add the words ‘zonal forum’ just after the term ‘RSC’ in Motion #58.”**

*It was M/S/F Nick E (RD, UK) / Miko N (RD, Israel)*

**“Commit Motion #58 to the World Board”**

*It was M/S/F Jose Luis A (RD, Region Del Coqui) / Pedro M (RD, Panama), Motion #55*

**“That all content of the literature in process of development be accessible to every region for input and review before the publication of the final draft for approval in the Conference Agenda Report.”**

*Amendment: It was M/S/C Jose Luis A (RD, Region Del Coqui) / Richie S (RD, Eastern New York)*

**“To add the words ‘whenever feasible’ before the word ‘That’ in Motion #55”**

After the motion was dispensed with, the following occurred:

Jose Luis A (RD, Region Del Coqui) asked what policy did the last motion affect that it needed 2/3 majority to pass?

Bob J (WB VC) responded that it would effect approval and input process in *A Guide to World Services in Narcotics Anonymous*.

Bob J (WB VC) thanked the cofacilitators and Don C (Parliamentarian) for their services. (Standing ovation) Bob asked if we can get Don to come back in two years?

Don C (Parliamentarian) told the body that his first conference was in 1988. He reminds those who were here in 1988 to realize how far this group has come. He also commended the cofacilitators for their effort.

Bob J (WB VC) pointed out that Don didn’t answer the question.

Don C (Parliamentarian) I’ll be here. Applause.

Bob J (WB VC) stated that if Don is still here in 2008, we should give him a gold watch... or a purple heart for 20 years of service.

Mark H (CF) took a moment of personal privilege to thank all Conference Participants for a very successful business session. He stated that he is grateful to be here to witness this. Applause.

And with that Mark H (CF) closed the business session of WSC 2004.

## **New Business Summary**

### **Motions carried:**

- Motion 35      “To approve the Business Plan Workgroup project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”
- Motion 36:      “To approve the Basic Text project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”
- Motion 37:      “To approve the Leadership Identification & Development project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”
- Motion 38:      “To approve the NAWS Communications & Publications project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”
- Motion 39:      “To approve the Public Relations Strategy project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”
- Motion 40:      “To approve the Service Handbooks project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”
- Motion 41:      “To approve the Service Material project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”
- Motion 42:      “To approve the Leadership Qualities in NA project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”
- Motion 43:      “To approve the Self Support IP project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”
- Motion 44:      “To approve the Service Structure Relationship & Definition project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”
- Motion 45:      “To approve the Targeted Literature project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”
- Motion 46:      “To approve the Capturing Long Time Members Experience project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”
- Motion 47:      “To approve the Consensus Based Decision Making at the WSC project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”
- Motion 48:      “To approve the Fellowship Issue Discussions project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”
- Motion 49:      “To approve the Literature Distribution & Convention Workshop project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”
- Motion 50:      “To approve the Worldwide Workshops project plan for inclusion in the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”
- Motion 51:      “To approve the 2004-2006 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. budget.”
- Motion 52      “To recognize Venezuela as a seated World Service Conference participant beginning at the close of WSC 2004.”
- Motion 53      “To recognize Chile as a seated World Service Conference participant

beginning at the close of WSC 2004.”

Motion 58: “That the system for identifying candidates for World Service positions include the opportunity for RSCs, zonal forums, and/or the World Board to forward potential candidates to the HRP separately from and after the HRP’s initial blind screening process.”

**Motions committed:**

Motion 33: “To include optional regional conscience and endorsement in the HRP Guidelines for the selection process for World Board, HRP and Cofacilitator positions.”

## THURSDAY 29 APRIL 2004 BUDGET DISCUSSION & ELECTIONS

Jane N (WB Chair) conducted a non-binding straw poll of conference participants to gauge their sense of the priority of proposed projects for 2004–2006 after the plan for worldwide workshops was moved to a medium priority by the WB:

<b>WB Rank</b>	<b>Projects</b>	<b>High</b>	<b>Medium</b>	<b>Low</b>
<b>Routine</b>	Business Plan Workgroup	Not Ranked		
<b>High</b>	Basic Text	76	22	1
	Leadership Identification & Development	76	26	3
	NAWS Communications & Publications	68	31	5
	Public Relations Strategy	75	22	5
	Service Handbooks	68	26	11
	Service Material	42	50	10
<b>Medium</b>	Worldwide Workshops	67	31	5
	Leadership Qualities in NA	32	53	13
	Self-Support IP	40	37	20
	Service Structure Relationship & Definition	15	54	31
	Targeted Literature	32	39	26
<b>Low</b>	Capturing Long Time Members' Experience	18	42	40
	Consensus-Based Decision-Making at the WSC	52	36	13
	Fellowship Issue Discussions	19	44	31
	Literature Distribution & Convention Workshop	15	31	51

## ELECTIONS

After a long discussion and straw polls, a standing vote was conducted to determine if the body wished to have a run-off election for the two HRP members who received the same number of votes or to have a five-member Human Resource Panel. Mark H (CF) first asked for all of those in support of Option A, which was to have a run-off election, to stand. This was followed by those in support of Option B, which was to have a five-member HRP. The total number for Option A was 53; the total number for Option B was 56. Mark H (CF) stated that Option B carries.

### **WSC Cofacilitators**

Mark Hersh

Ubaldo "Roberto" Jiminez

### **World Board**

Craig Robertson

Mary Banner

Michael Cox

Mukam Harzenski-Deutsch

Piet De Boer

Ron Blake

Ron Miller

### **Human Resource Panel**

Dylan Jenkinson

Mindy Atkins

Sergio Rojas

## EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND HUMAN RESOURCE PANEL STRAW POLL RESULTS:

Following are the results of the straw polls taken before the Making the New System Work session Tuesday 27 April.

1. Do we believe the system we have now allows the conference to elect people based on their ability and experience?  
Yes: 49                      No: 39                      Not voting: 7
2. Does the current system expand the conference's opportunities and choices?  
Yes: 22                      No: 32                      Not voting: 41
3. Does the conference support moving towards regional endorsements of candidates?  
Optional endorsements: 57                      Mandated endorsements: 31  
Not at all: 3                      Not voting: 8
4. Do you support the idea of leadership cultivation through WB workgroups?  
Yes: 80                      No: 2                      Not voting: 13
5. For the purpose of this straw poll choose one:  
The goal of the WSC nomination/election process should be to fill all vacant/available seats OR elect the most qualified candidate?  
Vacant/available seats: 8                      Most qualified: 87                      Not voting: 11  
*(Some people voted for more than one option)*
6. Does the conference support the blind Candidate Profile Report component of the nominations process?  
Yes: 73                      No: 19                      Not voting: 9
7. Does the conference support the HRP being a separate and independent body as currently outlined?  
Yes: 71                      No: 20                      Not voting: 10
8. Does the conference support zonal forums or language groups meeting to help their members understand the information in the CPRs?  
Part A of question: Zonal forums:  
Yes: 58                      No: 16                      Not voting: 13  
Part B of question: Language groups including the EDM:  
Yes: 92                      No: 0                      Not voting: 2
9. Does the conference wish to have the ability to meet to discuss candidates?  
Yes: 30                      No: 55                      Not voting: 11

## HRP Election Information

Following is information from the overheads from the HRP Report given Tuesday 27 April. This information is exactly as presented by the HRP. It is clear that some of the columns do not total.

World Board Elections	2002	2000	1999	1998
Ballots distributed	111	110	110	104
Ballots turned in	107	110	110	104
Blank ballots turned in	-	-	11	-
Ballots <u>not</u> turned in	4	-	-	-
% voted all open positions	-	5.5%	-	-
Votes needed for 60% election requirement	65	66	66	63
No. open positions	11	12	6	24
No. of candidates offered	18	28	18	36
Number WB Elected	1	7	0	18

No. Votes per Nominee	2002	2000	1999	1998
Nominees received votes on <b>60% or more</b> of the ballots turned in	1	7	-	18
Nominees received votes on <b>50 to 59%</b> of the ballots turned in	5	7	1	8
Nominees received votes on <b>40 to 49%</b> of the ballots turned in	10	8	5	6
Nominees received votes on <b>30 to 39%</b> of the ballots turned in	2	3	4	4
Nominees received votes on <b>20 to 29%</b> of the ballots turned in	-	3	6	-
Nominees received votes on <b>10 to 19%</b> of the ballots turned in	-	-	2	-
Total Number Nominees	18	28	18	36
Number WB Elected	1	7	0	18

Voting Pattern	2002	2000	1999	1998
% of participants voted for 0–3 nominees	14	4	17	1
% of participants voted for 4–6 nominees	20	5	39	0
% of participants voted for 7–9 nominees	27	15	23	2
% of participants voted for 10–12 nominees	26	25	1	3
% of participants voted for 13–15 nominees	8	10	2	10
% of participants voted for 16–18 nominees	5	14	1	9
% of participants voted for 19–21 nominees		10		22
% of participants voted for 22–24 nominees		5		31
% of participants voted for 25–27 nominees		6		18
% of participants voted for 28–30 nominees		6		5
% of participants voted for 31–36 nominees				3
Number WB Elected	1	7	0	18